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LETTER FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Citizens of Seattle December 22, 2003

Re: Report of Contributions and Expenditures In The 2003 City Election

Dear Citizen:

The attached report is published by the City of Seattle Ethics and Elections Commission, in compliance with the Seattle Municipal Code 2.04.060(H), to give you information about the financing of the 2003 Seattle City campaigns. It was compiled from the campaign finance disclosure reports that the candidates and ballot issue committees were required to file, under the Seattle Elections Code. The data presented here includes all reports filed from the beginning of the campaign through December 10, 2003.

Five City Council positions were on the ballot in 2003. Council positions 1, 5, 7 and 9 appeared on the September Primary ballot along with two Ballot Issues: Initiative 75, concerning the enforcement and prosecution of certain marijuana offenses; and Initiative 77, a proposal to fund childcare and early learning through an espresso beverage tax. Because only two candidates filed to run for Council Position 3, there was no need for a run-off election in September.

The candidates for Council Position 3 appeared only on the November ballot. Also on the November ballot were the top two vote getters in the races for Council positions 1, 5, 7 and 9 along with two ballot issues: Proposition 1, a levy for fire stations and other emergency response facilities; and Proposed Charter Amendment 5, concerning the method of electing Seattle's nine-member city council.

The data discloses four trends of note:

- Contribution limit increased by $250 (62.5%) since 1999 when incumbents last ran. The average contribution increased by 29% from $107 in 1999 to $138 in 2003.

- Candidates and ballot issues raised a total of $2,587,872, $1.8 million for Council races. It's interesting to note that contributions to Council candidates dropped to $622,362 in 2001. Taking into account the increase in the contribution limit from $600 in 2001 to $650 in 2003 does not explain a threefold increase in contributions. It may be that contributors were more focused on the Executive (Mayoral and City Attorney) races in 2001. More than 9,500 contributors in 2001 contributed more than $1.9 million to the Executive races. A review of the contributions to 1999 Council races shows that candidates raised $1.1 million from 9,060 contributors in that year, even though the contribution limit was only $400.

- From 2001 to 2003, the number of contributors to Council races doubled to 11,064 contributors. This is a significant change after four cycles of steady decline. The number of contributors to Council races went from a high of 10,183 in 1995 to a low of 5,122 people in 2001.

- More challengers raising more money early on. In the Spring of 2003, we noticed that a significant number of challengers had begun filing campaign disclosure reports. We compared what incumbents and challengers had raised in the previous two election cycles to the 2003 cycle. Even taking into consideration that there were three open seats on the 1999 ballot compared to no open seats in 2001 and 2003, the numbers showed a dramatic step up in the fundraising efforts. The chart below shows the shift to early fundraising. (See Chart next page).
Since July 1995, we have distributed reports of the campaign finances of City office candidates and City ballot issue committees in paper copy and on the web. The 2003 Year-End Election Report can be found at:

http://www.seattle.gov/elections

The 2003 campaigns are not required to file final reports until May 10, 2004. Therefore, we will issue a 2003 Final Election Report in June 2004.

We hope this report assists you in understanding and participating in City government. If we can provide more information, please call us at 206/684-8500, e-mail us at harley.anders@seattle.gov, or come into the office in the Key Tower at 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4010, Seattle, 98104-5051. We welcome your interest.

Sincerely,
Harley Anders,
Executive Director

Report prepared by:
Polly Grow
Campaign Finance Auditor

Data compiled by:
Robert B. DeWeese,
IT Professional
I. INTRODUCTION

The information for this report was compiled from the disclosure filings of each candidate and ballot issue committee. The data found here covers all disclosed activity from the beginning of each campaign through December 10, 2003.

This report does not contain graphs for candidates or ballot issues whose disclosable activity was less than $1,000.

Throughout this report, candidate names may be followed by an “Incumbent”/ "I" and/or “Elected”/ "E”. All City office elections are non-partisan, so party affiliation is not reported. The names of Ballot Issues may be followed by “Passed”/"P" or “Failed”/"F". The following is a list of 2003 City primary election and general election (in bold) candidates and ballot issues:

**Council Position 1**
- David Ferguson
- Jean Godden (E)
- Kollin Min
- Judy Nicastro (I)
- Robert Rosencrantz
- Art Skolnik
- Darryl Smith

**Council Position 7**
- Jim Compton (E/I)
- Susan Harmon
- Bob Hegamin
- John Manning

**Council Position 9**
- Ángel Bolaños
- David Della (E)
- Heidi Wills (I)
- Christal Wood

**Council Position 3**
- Zander Batchelder
- Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)

**Council Position 5**
- Linda Averill
- Dick Falkenbury
- Margaret Pageler (I)
- Tom Rasmussen (E)
- Mike Thompson
- Thomas Wade

**Primary**
- **Initiative No. 75 Marijuana Priority**
  - (Pro) Sensible Seattle
  - Passed

**Primary**
- **Initiative No. 77 Early Childhood Care Funding**
  - (Yes) Early Learning and Care Campaign Committee (ELCCC)
  - (No) Joined to Oppose the Latte Tax (JOLT)
  - Failed

**General**
- **Proposition No. 1 Fire and Emergency Levy**
  - (Yes) Seattle Fire & Emergency Response Levy
  - Passed

**General**
- **Proposed Charter Amend. No. 5 Election of Council**
  - (Yes) Seattle Districts Now
  - (No) Neighbors Against Gerrymandering
  - (No) One Seattle
  - Failed
II. 2003 CITY OFFICE AND BALLOT ISSUE VOTE RETURNS
Compiled from reports by King County Records and Elections
(http://www.metrokc.gov/elections/)

A. Primary Election Vote Returns, September 16, 2003

**Ballots Cast/Registered Voters:** 128,249/358,957
**Precincts Counted/Total Precincts:** 1006/1006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Council Pos. No. 7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Ferguson</td>
<td>4,567 (3.97%)</td>
<td>Christal Wood</td>
<td>12,804 (11.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Skolnik</td>
<td>8,049 (6.99%)</td>
<td>Bob Hegamin</td>
<td>11,996 (10.91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden</td>
<td>20,317 (17.65%)</td>
<td>David J. Della</td>
<td>37,180 (33.81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
<td>20,142 (17.50%)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills</td>
<td>47,985 (43.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollin K. Min</td>
<td>19,477 (16.92%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro</td>
<td>28,958 (25.16%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darryl Smith</td>
<td>13,607 (11.82%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 115,117

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 3</th>
<th></th>
<th>Council Pos. No. 5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linda Averill</td>
<td>11,142 (10.14%)</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler</td>
<td>57,997 (48.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Thompson</td>
<td>6,675 (6.08%)</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen</td>
<td>62,383 (51.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas L. Wade</td>
<td>2,806 (2.55%)</td>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>300 (0.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen</td>
<td>27,876 (25.37%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler</td>
<td>42,363 (38.56%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Falkenbury</td>
<td>19,000 (17.29%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 109,862

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 7</th>
<th></th>
<th>Initiative No. 75 Marijuana Offenses</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>71,911 (57.78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>52,538 (42.22%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 124,449

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative No. 77 Espresso Tax</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39,127 (31.17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>86,390 (68.83%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 125,517

B. General Election Vote Returns, November 4, 2003

**Ballots Cast/Registered Voters:** 132,513/362,270
**Precincts Counted/Total Precincts:** 1006/1006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Council Pos. No. 5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro</td>
<td>58,253 (47.70%)</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler</td>
<td>57,997 (48.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden</td>
<td>63,867 (52.30%)</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen</td>
<td>62,383 (51.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>666 (0.55%)</td>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>300 (0.25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 122,786

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 3</th>
<th></th>
<th>Council Pos. No. 7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck</td>
<td>97,653 (82.49%)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills</td>
<td>55,620 (45.99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zander Batchelder</td>
<td>20,725 (17.51%)</td>
<td>David J. Della</td>
<td>65,324 (54.01%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>380 (0.32%)</td>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>256 (0.21%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Votes Cast:** 118,758

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Pos. No. 7</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Votes Cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Pos. No. 9</td>
<td>Proposed Charter Amend. No. 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton</td>
<td>Election of Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63,501 55.90%</td>
<td>Yes 58,166 46.25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John E. Manning</td>
<td>No 67,605 53.75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,093 44.10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td>Total Votes Cast 125,771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,946 1.71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Votes Cast</td>
<td>115,540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposition No. 1**
**Fire and Emergency Levy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY, YES</th>
<th>LEVY, NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87,458 68.85%</td>
<td>39,571 31.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Votes Cast 127,029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. 2003 CITY OFFICE AND BALLOT ISSUE CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

A. Total Contributions for Candidates and Committees on Ballot

Table 1 below, lists the total amount of contributions received by each candidate committee from three categories: 1) contributions from the candidate, 2) anonymous contributions and miscellaneous receipts such as proceeds from t-shirt sales or transfers from a previous committee for the same office, and 3) contributions from individuals and groups. This chart also reports the number of individual (other than the candidate) and group contributors to each campaign and the average contribution amount made by those contributors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Position 1</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$266</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Ferguson</td>
<td>$266</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$266</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
<td>$153,762</td>
<td>$60,100</td>
<td>$185</td>
<td>$93,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollin Min</td>
<td>$135,162</td>
<td>$17,545</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$117,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
<td>$170,456</td>
<td>$1,158</td>
<td>$702</td>
<td>$168,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
<td>$124,195</td>
<td>$7,603</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Skolnik</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darryl Smith</td>
<td>$43,155</td>
<td>$3,880</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$39,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$626,995</td>
<td>$90,286</td>
<td>$1,153</td>
<td>$535,557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Position 3</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,337</td>
<td>$1,037</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zander Batchelder</td>
<td>$1,337</td>
<td>$1,037</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
<td>$133,756</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$12,377</td>
<td>$121,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$135,094</td>
<td>$1,037</td>
<td>$12,377</td>
<td>$121,679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Position 5</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,814</td>
<td>$936</td>
<td>$13,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Averill</td>
<td>$14,814</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$936</td>
<td>$13,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Falkenbury</td>
<td>$11,478</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$11,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>$223,766</td>
<td>$29,461</td>
<td>$185</td>
<td>$194,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E)</td>
<td>$209,168</td>
<td>$84,405</td>
<td>$249</td>
<td>$124,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Thompson</td>
<td>$11,051</td>
<td>$10,276</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Wade</td>
<td>$988</td>
<td>$988</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$471,265</td>
<td>$125,205</td>
<td>$1,388</td>
<td>$344,671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Position 7</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$156,985</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Della (E)</td>
<td>$156,985</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$134,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hegamin</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>$258,118</td>
<td>$9,691</td>
<td>$5,637</td>
<td>$242,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christal Wood</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$415,103</td>
<td>$32,191</td>
<td>$5,637</td>
<td>$377,274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
A. Total Contributions (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Position 9</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ángel Bolaños</td>
<td>$17,035</td>
<td>$1,455</td>
<td>$383</td>
<td>$15,196 206 $74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>$111,903</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$111,768 686 $163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Harmon</td>
<td>$1,871</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,871 43 $44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Manning</td>
<td>$18,401</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,401 124 $148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$149,210</td>
<td>$1,580</td>
<td>$394</td>
<td>$147,236 1,059 $139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charter Amendment 5 - Council Districts - Failed</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
<th>Anon. &amp; Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Districts Now (YES)</td>
<td>$105,793</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$105,293 431 $244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors Against Gerrymandering (NO)</td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$105 2 $52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Seattle (NO)</td>
<td>$56,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$56,250 52 $1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$162,148</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$161,648 485 $333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority - Passed

| Sensible Seattle Coalition (YES)                 | $154,390            | $0            | $154,390 347 $445   |

Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Care Funding - Failed

| Early Learning and Care Campaign Committee (YES)| $144,811            | $43           | $144,769 216 $670   |
| JOLT (NO)                                        | $172,007            | $0            | $172,007 160 $1,075 |
| All Committees                                   | $316,818            | $43           | $316,776 376 $842   |

Proposition 1 - Fire Levy - Passed

| Seattle Fire & Emergency Response Levy (YES)    | $156,850            | $0            | $156,850 62 $2,530  |

Table 2

Table 3 shows total receipts for each category. This table includes data from candidates and ballot issues that appeared on the 2003 ballot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Issues</th>
<th>Total Receipts</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Misc.</th>
<th>Individuals &amp; Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$790,206</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>$543</td>
<td>$789,664 1,270 $622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council</td>
<td>$1,797,666</td>
<td>$250,299</td>
<td>$20,949</td>
<td>$1,526,418 11,064 $138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
B. Candidates and Ballot Issues Not on Ballot

Table 4 shows total contributions to committees for candidates who did not appear on the 2003 election ballot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Contributions Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rudi Bertschi</td>
<td>$34,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Lawton</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorli Rainey</td>
<td>$1,275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Table 5 shows total contributions to committees promoting or opposing ballot issues that did not appear on the 2003 ballot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Issue Committee</th>
<th>Contributions Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens for Proportional Representation (2003) (YES on CA)</td>
<td>$2,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Ballot Watch (NO on I-80)</td>
<td>$15,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes For Seattle (YES on I-80)</td>
<td>$41,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% For Renewables (YES on I-81)</td>
<td>$611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

Figures 1 through 12 below graphically depict the information in Tables 1 through 5. The Total Contributions graphs include contributions from candidates. Graphs of Number of Contributors and graphs of Average Contribution Size do not include candidate contributions.

![Total Contributions](image)
Figure 8

Number of Contributors
Council Campaigns

- Council Pos 1
  - Ferguson
  - Godden (E)
  - Min
  - Nicastro (I)
  - Rosencrantz
  - Skolnik
  - Smith

- Council Pos 3
  - Batchelder
  - Steinbrueck (E/I)

- Council Pos 5
  - Averill
  - Falkenbury
  - Pageler (I)
  - Rasmussen (E)
  - Thompson
  - Wade

- Council Pos 7
  - Della (E)
  - Hegamin
  - Wills (I)
  - Wood

- Council Pos 9
  - Bolaños
  - Compton (E/I)
  - Harmon
  - Manning

- CcilNotOnBallot
  - Bertschi
  - Lawton
  - Rainey

- 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500
Figure 10

Total Contributions
All Ballot Issue Campaigns

Figure 11

Number of Contributors
Ballot Issue Campaigns
Figure 12

Average Contribution Size
Ballot Issue Campaigns

---Proportional Representation---
Citizens Prop Repr (YES)

-----Districts-----
NbrAg Gymnastics (NO)
One Seattle (NO)
Districts Now (YES)

-----Creeks-----
Sea Ballot Watch (NO)

Yes For Seattle (YES)

-----Marijuana (P)-----
Sensible Seattle (YES)

-----Early Childhood-----
Early Learning (YES)

JOLT (NO)

-----Wind-Solar-----
1% for Renewable (YES)

-Prop 1 - Fire Levy (P)---
Fire Levy (YES)
C. Size of Contributions

The following pie charts graphically report the size of in-kind and monetary contributions received. These charts include candidate contributions to their own campaigns, transfers from a previous campaign, anonymous contributions, loans and miscellaneous receipts such as receipts from a low-cost fundraiser and interest from a bank account. All of these categories are broken out separately on the charts. Loans from candidates to their own committees are categorized as candidate contributions, until repaid.

In December 2000, the contribution limit for candidate campaigns was raised from $400 to $600 per contributor, per election cycle, to each candidate. In May of 2002, the contribution limit was again raised from $600 to $650. In the Council races contributions of $400 and up remained a steady 38% in 2003. At the same time, the number of contributors contributing less than $100 dropped from 18% in 2001 to 12% in 2003. There was, however, a significant leap in the amount candidates contributed to their own campaigns. In 2001 candidates contributed only 3% of the total funds towards their own campaigns. In 2003, they contributed 14%, almost $257,000.

2003 is the first year the Council campaigns raised over $1 million when there were no open seats on the ballot. In 1999, there were three open seats on the Council and campaigns raised $1.1 million. In 2003 campaigns for City Council raised a total of $1.8 million almost three times what was raised in 2001. See Fig. 14.

While the size of the contributions of more than $100 to Council campaigns did not vary significantly from 2001 to 2003, the amount of contributions increased dramatically from $622,362 in 2001 to $1.8 million in 2003. One explanation is that the 2003 election had no executive positions on the ballot, whereas in 2001 three candidates for an open City Attorney position and twelve candidates for Mayor were soliciting campaign contributions in addition to the candidates running for city council.

Figures 16 through 37 show marked differences in the size distribution of contributions among different campaigns.

Graphs for candidates and ballot issue committees that raised or spent less than $1,000 are not included.

Please note that these graphs are based on the campaigns’ dollar receipts, not their number of contributors.
Candidates for City Council Position 1

Figure 16

Jean Godden (E)
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$100 to $399 26%
$25 or less 3%
$25 to $99 6%
$100 to $99 4%
$250 to $599 18%
$500 or more 18%
Anonymous 6%
Candidate 39%

Total Receipts: $553,762

Figure 17

Judy Nicastro (I)
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$25 to $99 9%
$250 to $599 12%
$500 or more 42%
$100 to $99 31%
Anonymous 6%
Candidate 0%

Total Receipts: $570,454

Figure 18

Robert Rosencrantz
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$100 to $399 30%
$25 or less 5%
$25 to $99 9%
$100 to $99 6%
Candidate 6%
$500 or more 31%
$400 to $599 10%

Total Receipts: $124,155

Figure 19

Darryl Smith
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$20 to $99 12%
$25 or less 4%
$25 to $99 9%
$100 to $99 47%
Candidate 9%
$500 or more 42%
$400 to $599 10%
Anonymous 0%

Total Receipts: $43,155

Candidates for City Council Position 3

Figure 20

Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$25 to $99 12%
$25 or less 4%
$250 to $599 10%
$100 to $99 31%
Candidate 27%
$500 or more 27%
$400 to $599 12%

Total Receipts: $533,754

Figure 21

Zander Batchelder
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$100 to $399 22%
Candidate 78%

Total Receipts: $1,137

Candidates for City Council Position 5

Figure 22

Tom Rasmussen (E)
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$25 to $99 6%
$25 or less 2%
$250 to $599 10%
$100 to $99 27%
Candidate 40%
$500 or more 16%

Total Receipts: $209,168

Figure 23

Margaret Pageler (I)
Size of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

$25 to $99 5%
$250 to $599 15%
$100 to $99 34%
$400 to $599 16%
Candidate 13%
$500 or more 33%

Total Receipts: $223,763
Candidates for City Council Position 7

Candidates for City Council Position 9

Figure 24

Figure 25

Figure 26

Figure 27

Figure 28

Figure 29

Figure 30
Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority

Figure 31

Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Funding

Figure 33

Ballot Issue Committees - Charter Amendment 5 - Council Election by District

Figure 35
D. Size of Contributions by Number of Contributors

The following pie charts show the number of contributors in each dollar range.

Figures 39-41 illustrate that 88% of all contributors are evenly split between three categories: $25 and under, $26-$99, and the $100-$399. Of the 11,241 contributors to 2003 candidate campaigns more than half made contributions of less than $100. Only seven percent, i.e. 787 contributors gave $600 to $650. In 2001, eleven percent made the maximum contribution.
Size of Contributions
All Council Campaigns - by Number of Contributors

- $25 or less: 27%
- $26 to $99: 28%
- $100 to $399: 34%
- $400 to $599: 4%
- $600 or more: 7%

Total Number of Contributors: 11,241

Figure 40

Size of Contributions
All Ballot Issue Campaigns - by Number of Contributors

- $25 or less: 42%
- $26 to $99: 22%
- $100 to $399: 19%
- $400 to $599: 5%
- $600 or more: 12%

Total Number of Contributors: 1,363

Figure 41
E. Area of Contributors

The following pie charts show the areas that the contributors reported as their home or business addresses. The areas inside the City limits include Capitol Hill/Madrona, Queen Anne/Magnolia, Downtown/Belltown, Greenlake/University District, West Seattle, Ballard/NW Seattle and Mt. Baker/Rainier Valley. The report also includes contributions from Outside of the City and “Area Unknown.” Position 1 Candidate Linda Averill, filed for and received a court ordered exemption from reporting the names and addresses of her contributors. Therefore, her contributors fall into the “Area Unknown” category.

Receipts from the following sources are shown in designated categories: candidate contributions or loans to his or her own campaign, loans to ballot issues, miscellaneous receipts such as bank interest or receipts from a low cost fundraiser, transfers from a previous campaign, and anonymous contributions.

Twenty-eight percent of contributions to City Council candidates came from contributors outside of Seattle compared to 2001, when only 19% of contribution to Council candidates came from outside the City. Candidate contributions of their own funds jumped from 3% in 2001 to 12% in 2003. See Figure 43.

Contributions to ballot issues from contributors outside of Seattle jumped from 24% in 1999, the last time ballot issues appeared on the ballot, to 48% in 2003. See Figure 44.

Contributions from Downtown/Belltown continue to decline from 20% in 1999 to 15% in 2001 to 11% in 2003.

There are marked differences in the regional distribution of the Council and Ballot Issue campaigns. Council campaigns received 28% of their contributions from Outside of Seattle, while the ballot issue campaigns received 42% of their contributions from outside the City. See Figures 43 and 44.

Figures 45 through 62 show dramatic differences in the regional distribution of campaign funds for different candidate campaigns. Figures 63 through 68 show the regional distributions of ballot issue campaigns.
**Figure 43**

*Area of Contributions*

All Council Campaigns - by Amount of Contributions

- Qn Anne/Mag: 8%
- U-Dist/Gr Lake: 5%
- Ballard/NW: 3%
- Vw Rdg/Lk City: 5%
- Down/Bell Town: 12%
- West Seattle: 3%
- Mt Bak/Rnr Val: 8%
- Prior Campaign: 1%
- Candidate: 14%
- Misc Receipts: 0%
- Area Unknown: 5%

Total Receipts: $1,833,891

**Figure 44**

*Area of Contributions*

All Ballot Issue Campaigns - by Amount of Contributions

- U-Dist/Gr Lake: 10%
- Qn Anne/Mag: 10%
- Ballard/NW: 2%
- Vw Rdg/Lk City: 2%
- Down/Bell Town: 11%
- Cap Hill/Madr: 6%
- West Seattle: 2%
- Mt Bak/Rnr Val: 4%
- Misc Receipts: 0.0%
- Prior Campaign: 0.0%
- Area Unknown: 13%

Total Receipts: $849,594

**Figure 45**

*Candidates for City Council Position 1*

**Jean Godden (E)**

- Area of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

- Total Receipts: $153,782

**Judy Nicastro (I)**

- Area of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

- Total Receipts: $170,456
Candidates for City Council Position 3

Candidates for City Council Position 5

Figure 47

Figure 48

Figure 49

Figure 50

Figure 51

Figure 52

Figure 53
Candidates for City Council Position 7

Candidates for City Council Position 9

Jim Compton (E/I)
were not included in the text extraction and cannot be accurately transcribed.
Ángel Bolaños
Area of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

- Cap Hill/Bed 16%
- Queen Anne/Magn 2%
- U-Dist/Gr. Lake 9%
- Ballard/NW 4%
- Vw Rldg/lk City 12%
- Down/Ballown Town 9%
- Out of Seattle 11%
- Misc Receipts 2%
- Candidate 9%
- Area Unknown 10%

Total Receipts: $17,035

Figure 61

Susan Harmon
Area of Contributions - by Amount of Contributions

- West Seattle 57%
- Out of Seattle 8%
- U-Dist/Gr. Lake 5%
- Ballard/NW 3%
- Vw Rldg/lk City 4%
- Down/Ballown Town 3%
- Mt Bk/Rmv Vol 3%
- Area Unknown 15%

Total Receipts: $1,871

Figure 62
Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority

Figure 63

Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Funding

Figure 64

Figure 65

Ballot Issue Committees - Charter Amendment 5 - Council Election by Districts

Figure 66

Figure 67

Ballot Issue Committees - Proposition 1 - Fire Facilities Levy

Figure 68
F. Area of Contributions by Number of Contributors

The following pie charts show the number of contributors in each dollar range.

Figures 69-71 illustrate that 57% of all contributors are evenly split between eight Seattle areas. The significant increase in the “Area Unknown” category can be attributed to the Averill campaign that requested an exemption from reporting the names and addresses of its contributors.

**Figure 69**

Area of Contributors
All Campaigns - by Number of Contributors

- Qn Anne/Mag 7%
- U-Dist/Gr Lake 7%
- Ballard/NW 4%
- Vw Rdg/Lk City 6%
- Down/Bell Town 8%
- Out of Seattle 19%
- Area Unknown 24%
- West Seattle 4%
- Mt Bak/Rnr Val 8%
- Candidate 0%

Total Number of Contributors: 12,663

**Figure 70**

Area of Contributions
All Council Campaigns - by Number of Contributors

- Qn Anne/Mag 7%
- U-Dist/Gr Lake 7%
- Ballard/NW 4%
- Vw Rdg/Lk City 6%
- Down/Bell Town 8%
- Out of Seattle 20%
- Area Unknown 22%
- Cap Hill/Madr 13%
- West Seattle 4%
- Mt Bak/Rnr Val 9%
- Candidate 0%

Total Number of Contributors: 11,305
The following pie charts graphically report the type of contributors that gave to the 2003 City campaigns. The graphs include categories for individual contributors, PACs (Continuing Political Committees), businesses, organizations not required to report as PACs, candidates, miscellaneous receipts, and uncoded contributors. The latter category, uncoded contributors, includes most contributors of $100 or less, as well as all anonymous contributions. These contributors are not coded because coding of such small contributions would be too time-consuming. A random sample of 400 contributors of between $25.01 and $99.99 was coded, however, and more than 99% of the contribution amount in that sample was from individual contributors. It is likely that almost all of the uncoded contributions are from individuals.

There were no significant changes in the types of contributors contributing to Council Candidates and Ballot Issue Committees in 1999 and 2003. In 2001, however, Council candidates accounted for only 3% of the contributions to their own campaigns. In 1999, when there were three open seats, candidates contributed 12%. In 2003, with no open seats, candidates contributed 14% to their own campaigns.

Contributions from Businesses and Organizations jumped dramatically from 7% in 2001 to 27% in 2003. It's important to note, however, that Businesses and Organizations accounted for 20% of the contributions in 1999, the last time ballot issues appeared on a regularly scheduled ballot. The dip in 2001 contributions can likely be explained by the absence of any active ballot measures that year.

The biggest difference in the pie charts is the amount of contributions from businesses and organizations to candidates versus ballot issues. Business and Organization contributions ranged from 8% in the Council races to 64% in the Ballot Issue campaigns. PACs continue to provide campaigns with about 3% of the contributions. See Figures 72 through 74.

Figures 75 through 98 provide a breakdown of each campaign’s contribution type.
Type of Contributors
All Campaigns - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual: 50%
- PAC: 3%
- Business: 16%
- Organization: 11%
- Candidate: 10%
- Uncoded: 9%
- Prior Campaign: 1%
- Miscellaneous: 0%
- Loans: 1%

Total Receipts: $2,683,484

Figure 72

Type of Contributors
All Council Campaigns - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual: 62%
- PAC: 3%
- Business: 7%
- Organization: 1%
- Candidate: 14%
- Uncoded: 12%
- Prior Campaign: 1%
- Miscellaneous: 0%

Total Receipts: $1,833,891

Figure 73

Type of Contributors
All Ballot Issue Campaigns - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual: 27%
- PAC: 3%
- Business: 34%
- Organization: 31%
- Uncoded: 3%
- Prior Campaign: 0.0%
- Loans: 2%
- Miscellaneous: 0.0%

Total Receipts: $849,594

Figure 74
Candidates for City Council Position 1

Jean Godden (E)
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 39%
- Organization 6%
- PAC 5%
- Business 2%
- Uncoded 9%
- Miscellaneous 0%

Total Receipts: $153,782

Figure 75

Judy Nicastro (I)
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Organization 1%
- Candidate 1%
- Business 11%
- Prior Campaign 0%
- Miscellaneous 0.0%

Total Receipts: $170,454

Figure 76

Kollin Min
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 6%
- Organization 1%
- PAC 2%
- Business 2%
- Uncoded 12%

Total Receipts: $135,162

Figure 77

Robert Rosencrantz
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 6%
- Organization 1%
- PAC 2%
- Business 5%
- Uncoded 34%

Total Receipts: $124,155

Figure 78

Darryl Smith
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 9%
- Business 7%
- Uncoded 16%
- Individual 66%

Total Receipts: $43,155

Figure 79

Candidates for City Council Position 3

Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Organization 2%
- PAC 1%
- Business 4%
- Uncoded 54%
- Individual 67%

Total Receipts: $153,756

Figure 80

Zander Batchelder
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 7%
- Individual 22%

Total Receipts: $1,337

Figure 81
Candidates for City Council Position 5

**Tom Rasmussen (E)**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 40%
- Organization 3%
- PAC 2%
- Business 1%
- Un-coded 8%
- Miscellaneous 0%

Total Receipts: $209,168

**Margaret Pageler (I)**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 13%
- Organization 1%
- PAC 3%
- Business 13%
- Un-coded 6%
- Miscellaneous 0.0%

Total Receipts: $223,783

**Linda Averill**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 52%
- Organization 2%
- PAC 1%
- Business 6%
- Miscellaneous 4%
- Uncoded 42%

Total Receipts: $14,814

**Dick Falkenbury**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 1%
- Organization 1%
- PAC 3%
- Business 11%
- Uncoded 21%

Total Receipts: $11,478

**Mike Thompson**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 65%
- PAC 6%
- Business 1%
- Organization 1%
- Miscellaneous 0%

Total Receipts: $11,651

Candidates for City Council Position 7

**David Della (E)**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 14%
- Organization 2%
- PAC 1%
- Business 4%
- Un-coded 17%

Total Receipts: $156,085

**Heidi Wills (I)**
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Candidate 4%
- Organization 2%
- PAC 3%
- Business 14%
- Uncoded 17%

Total Receipts: $258,118

Figures 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88
Candidates for City Council Position 9

Figure 89

Jim Compton (E/I)
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual 66%
- PAC 5%
- Business 15%
- Un-coded 11%
- Miscellaneous 0.06%

Total Receipts: $111,903

Figure 90

John Manning
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual 74%
- Organization 1%
- PAC 4%
- Business 0%
- Un-coded 16%

Total Receipts: $18,401

Figure 91

Ángel Bolaños
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual 41%
- PAC 9%
- Organization 6%
- Candidate 9%
- Miscellaneous 2%
- Uncoded 34%

Total Receipts: $17,055

Figure 92

Susan Harmon
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual 43%
- Organization 1%

Total Receipts: $1,871

Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority

Figure 93

Sensible Seattle Coalition
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Organization 54%
- PAC 2%
- Business 1%
- Uncoded 9%
- Individual 39%

Total Receipts: $154,300

Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Funding

Figure 94

Early Learning and Care Campaign Committee
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Organization 55%
- PAC 2%
- Business 1%
- Uncoded 3%
- Miscellaneous 0.06%
- Individual 39%

Total Receipts: $144,881

Figure 95

JOLT
Type of Contributors - by Amount of Contributions

- Individual 14%
- Organization 15%
- PAC 2%

Total Receipts: $177,007
Ballot Issue Committees - Charter Amendment 5 - Council Election by Districts

**Figure 96**

Ballot Issue Committees - Proposition 1 - Fire Facilities Levy

**Figure 98**
IV. 2003 CITY OFFICE AND BALLOT ISSUE CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURES

A. Total Expenditures

Tables 6 and 7, below, show the total expenditures for all 2003 City campaigns. Figures 99 through 101 portray this information graphically. Please note these figures do not include obligations to vendors that were still outstanding as of November 30, 2003. As campaigns pay these obligations, their expenditure totals will increase.

### Council Position 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Ferguson</td>
<td>$265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
<td>$145,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollin Min</td>
<td>$133,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
<td>$168,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
<td>$120,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Skolnik</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darryl Smith</td>
<td>$37,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$605,978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Council Position 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zander Batchelder</td>
<td>$1,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
<td>$112,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$114,495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Council Position 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linda Averill</td>
<td>$11,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Falkenbury</td>
<td>$11,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>$223,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E)</td>
<td>$197,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Thompson</td>
<td>$5,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Wade</td>
<td>$988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$450,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Council Position 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Della (E)</td>
<td>$154,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hegamin</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>$256,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christal Wood</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$411,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Council Position 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ángel Bolaños</td>
<td>$17,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>$111,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Harmon</td>
<td>$1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Manning</td>
<td>$16,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$146,311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority - Passed</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensible Seattle Coalition (YES)</td>
<td>$150,204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Funding - Failed</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Learning and Care Campaign Committee (YES)</td>
<td>$139,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOLT (NO)</td>
<td>$170,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$310,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charter Amendment 5 - Council Districts - Failed</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Districts Now (YES)</td>
<td>$102,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors Against Gerrymandering (NO)</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Seattle (NO)</td>
<td>$51,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Committees</td>
<td>$153,716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 1 - Fire Levy - Passed</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Fire &amp; Emergency Response Levy (YES)</td>
<td>$145,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7

B. Expenditures of Candidates and Ballot Issues Not on Ballot

Tables 8 and 9 show total expenditures to committees for candidates and ballot issues that did not appear on the 2003 election ballot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rudi Bertschi</td>
<td>$27,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Lawton</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorli Rainey</td>
<td>$588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Issue Committee</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens for Proportional Representation (2003) (YES on CA)</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Ballot Watch (NO on I-80)</td>
<td>$15,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes For Seattle (YES on I-80)</td>
<td>$25,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% For Renewables (YES on I-81)</td>
<td>$611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

Table 10 shows total expenditures for each category. This table only includes data from candidates and ballot issues that appeared on the 2003 ballot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballot Issues</td>
<td>$759,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council</td>
<td>$1,728,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10

Figure 99
C. Types Of Expenditures

Each campaign itemized and described all expenditures of more than $50. Commission staff then reviewed the descriptions provided by the campaigns and coded each expenditure into the following categories:

- Fundraising: Solicitation mailings, printing, postage, event costs and fundraising consulting
- Lit & Mail: Promotional literature, design, postage, printing, copying, lists, labels and consulting
- TV & Radio: Broadcast advertising production, time buys and consultants
- Staff/Consult: Staff wages, payroll taxes and general consulting fees

(continued)
Types of Expenditures (continued)

- Operations: Rent, office supplies, food, travel, phone, research, computer, office equipment
- Newspaper Ads: Ad design and buys
- Other Ads: Yard signs, internet, bus signs, t-shirts, bumper stickers, phone banks
- Uncodable: Unitemized or insufficient information available to code appropriately
- Miscellaneous: Signature gathering, contributions to charities and other committees, transfers to new committee, fines & penalties

As in 1999 and 2001, 2003 City campaigns spent more than half their funds (53%) on some form of voter contact, i.e., literature and mail, radio and tv, print ads, etc. One big change came in the category of TV and Radio. Historically, candidates have not devoted their resources to these media, particularly TV. However, in 2003 candidates spent 6% on TV and Radio, up from 0% in 2001 and 3% in 1999. The amount spent on literature and mail dropped comparably from 56% in 1999, to 59% in 2001 to 51% in 2003. See Figure 103.

The major difference in expenditures was the amount that campaigns directed towards fundraising. Candidates for City office about a third less on fundraising in 2003 as they did in 2001. On average, campaigns spent $0.07 for every dollar raised in 2003 vs. $0.03 in 1999. See Figure 103.

Figures 104 thru 128 show some differences in how 2003 City campaigns allocated their expenditures. The larger campaigns spent about 10% on operations while the campaigns that raised $20,000 or less were more likely to spend 20%-40% on operations. This is a great improvement over 2001 when the smaller campaigns were consumed by operations spending as much as 50-80% on rent and supplies.
Figure 103

Types of Expenditures
All Council Campaigns
- Lit & Mail: 51%
- Fundraising: 7%
- TV & Radio: 6%
- Staff/Consult: 17%
- Operations: 11%
- Newspaper Ads: 0%
- Other Ads: 5%
- Uncodable: 1%
- Miscellaneous: 2%
Total Expenditures: $1,756,752

Figure 104

Types of Expenditures
All Ballot Issues
- Lit & Mail: 27%
- Staff/Consult: 32%
- TV & Radio: 7%
- Miscellaneous: 10%
- Uncodable: 1%
- Other Ads: 3%
- Newspaper Ads: 0.0%
- Operations: 18%
Total Expenditures: $801,199

Figure 105

Candidates for City Council Position 1

Jean Godden (E)
Type of Expenditures
- Lit & Mail: 60%
- Miscellaneous: 0.0%
- Fundraising: 4%
- Operations: 13%
- TV & Radio: 1%
- Staff/Consult: 18%
Total Expenditures: $145,468

Figure 106

Judy Nicastro (I)
Type of Expenditures
- Lit & Mail: 43%
- Fundraising: 4%
- TV & Radio: 14%
- Miscellaneous: 1%
- Uncodable: 1%
- Other Ads: 11%
- Newspaper Ads: 0.0%
- Operations: 13%
Total Expenditures: $168,408
Candidates for City Council Position 3

Candidates for City Council Position 5

Figure 107

Figure 108

Figure 109

Figure 110

Figure 111

Figure 112

Figure 113
Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 75 - Marijuana Priority

Ballot Issue Committees - Initiative 77 - Early Childhood Funding

Ballot Issue Committees - Charter Amendment 5 - Council Election by Districts
V. YEAR TO YEAR TRENDS

A. Total Contributions Received

Figure 129 compares the average amount of City Council contributions raised over the last six election cycles. The amounts used in the graph are calculated by dividing the total contributions raised by all Council campaigns on the ballot by the number of positions appearing on the ballot that year. This calculation is necessary because in 1993 and 2001, four Council positions were on the ballot, whereas five positions were on the ballot in 1995, 1997\(^\Delta\) and 1999 and 2003.

Fundraising for Council positions jumped dramatically in 2003 to $1.8 million. In 1995, Council campaigns raised $718,000, in 1997 they raised $839,000, and in 1999 Council campaigns raised a total of $1,086,000. But in 2001, the council contribution receipts dipped back down to $632,000.

Past experience shows that it is unusual to have such a jump in fundraising when there are no open seats on the ballot. One explanation may be the number of challengers who began fundraising early in 2003 and some in early 2002.

On average, almost $360,000 was raised for each City Council position on the 2003 ballot, an increase of almost $200,000 compared to 2001.

$215,000 was raised for each position on the 1999 ballot, a roughly 20% increase from 1997 ($176,000) and 1995 ($179,000). This amount is more than double the 1993 level of $104,000. We have not seen a straight line increase over the past four cycles, total funds raised in 1997 were actually somewhat less than in 1995. See Figure 129.

\(^\Delta\) In 1997, Council Position 3 was on the ballot to fill the vacancy left by the incumbent, John Manning who resigned two years into his four-year term.
B. Average Contribution To Campaigns and Number of Contributors

The average Council campaign contribution is a little over $138, up from $117 in 2001. This increase may be due to the increased contribution limit that went into effect in May 2002. The contribution limit was raised from $600 to $650. See Figure 130.

Historically, the average campaign contribution has increased following the December publication of this report because campaigns resolicit their existing contributor base to help retire debts. At the same time that total contributions increased, the number of contributors to 2003 Council campaigns more than doubled from more just over 5,100 in 2001 to 11,064 in 2003. See Figure 131.

Please note that averages for 1993 were calculated without using the number of contributors of $25 or less in the equation. This will make the 1993 average contribution amounts higher than if the smaller contributions had been included.

It is hard to discern a trend in the average contribution size to ballot issue campaigns. Because there are no contribution limits for ballot issues, this figure can be dramatically affected by “outlier” data. For example, the average contribution size in 1998 was roughly three times the similar figure for 1995, 1997 and 2001. This was due, in large part, to the fact that the 1998 pro-library levy campaign received over 40% of its contributions from one large contributor. In 2001, none of the committees formed to put an issue on the ballot made it to the ballot. See Figure 132.
Figure 130

Historical Average Contribution Size
Council Campaigns

Election Cycle
(*) Year in which number of unitemized contributors under $25 not included

Figure 131

Historical Number of Contributors
Council Campaigns

Election Cycle
(*) Year in which number of unitemized contributors under $25 not included
Figure 132

**Historical Average Contribution Size**

Ballot Issue Campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Election Cycle</th>
<th>Average Contribution Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Year in which number of unitemized contributors under $25 not included

**Table 11**

**Average Contribution Size**

To Council Candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contribution Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>$84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 12**

**Number of Contributors**

To Council Candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Contributors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>4,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>10,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>9,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>9,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>5,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>11,064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average Contribution Size**

To Ballot Issue Committees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contribution Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$1,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. The Impact of Public Financing

Seattle’s experience with partial public financing in the 1970’s and 80’s demonstrates two things: 1) the use of such financing results in broader participation in political campaigns, i.e., more people contribute to campaigns in this environment, and 2) the use of such financing encourages campaigns to rely more on small contributions as a source of funding. With one exception, the following charts and tables clearly show two trends in years when partial public financing was in place: 1) the average number of contributors to each campaign was much higher, and 2) the average contribution size was much lower.

There was one exception to the trend, the average number of contributors to 1987 Council campaigns was lower than years in which there was no public financing. The author of the study on which this data is based attributed this to a methodological issue. The author claims that several important campaigns were left out of the results because they started late and were thus not included in the category of “closely contested City Council races” in 1987.

In three election cycles, 1979, 1981 and 1987, City Council candidates who agreed to cap their expenditures received matching funds from the City, dollar for dollar up to $50 for each individual campaign contribution. The matching program was also in place in the 1989 and 1991 election cycles and applied to other City Offices such as Mayor and City Attorney. Unfortunately, no compiled data exists for those election cycles.

The 1975-1987 information in the following charts and tables was compiled by the former Seattle Elections Administrator, Alan Miller. The data presented are not for all City Council races, only the "highly contested City Council races." The trend is clear, during the years when Seattle had public financing: 1979, 1981 and 1987, the average contribution was lower than the previous and following years in which public financing was not in place. In 1979 and 1981 the average number of contributors was greater.
AVERAGE CONTRIBUTION SIZE
To Council Candidates
(public financing was in place during the bolded years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contribution Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>$63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>$67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>$83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>$48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11

AVERAGE NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTORS
To Council Candidates
(public financing was in place during the bolded years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>1063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12

The Seattle Elections Code currently imposes a $650 limit on contributions to each candidate. Seattle no longer has expenditure limits, however. The United States Supreme Court ruled that expenditure limits may not be imposed on candidates without giving them something in return. See Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 96 SCt 612, 46 L.Ed.2d 659 (1976). Prior to 1992, the Seattle Elections Code required expenditure limits of those City office candidates who accepted partial public financing. In November 1992, Washington voters passed Initiative 134, which prohibits the use of public funds for state or local elections. As a result, Seattle lost the authority to offer partial public financing of campaigns and with it the authority to impose expenditure limits.
VI. LISTS

A. Top 20 Contributors to all Candidates

The following is a list of the top 20 contributors to all candidates for City Council appearing on the 2003 ballot. The reported employers and occupations of individual contributors are also shown. Where the various campaigns have reported different employers or occupations, all reported employers and occupations are listed.

The list includes 4 unions, 3 attorney/lobbyist/consultants, 4 corporations/business owners, and 7 developer/property management investors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Int’l Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 77 PAC</th>
<th>Rollin Fatland Public Affairs Consultant</th>
<th>Washington State Council of County &amp; City Employees (WSCCCE) PAC</th>
<th>Judith Runstad Attorney, Foster Pepper &amp; Shefelman</th>
<th>International Federation of Professional &amp; Technical Engineers (IFPTE) Local 17 (PAC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Della (E) 650</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I) 650</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I) 650</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I) 650</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I) 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E) 650</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E) 600</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E) 650</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I) 650</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I) 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Manning 650</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I) 650</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I) 650</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I) 650</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I) 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollin Min 650</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I) 650</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I) 650</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E) 650</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E) 650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I) 650</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E) 650</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E) 650</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I) 350</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I) 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E) 650</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I) 350</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I) 350</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I) 625</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I) 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I) 650</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I) 625</td>
<td>Total 4175</td>
<td>Total 4175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Thompson 650</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total 4175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 5200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tomio Moriguchi</th>
<th>H Jon Runstad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEO, Uwajimaya Inc</td>
<td>Chairman &amp; CEO, Wright Runstad &amp; Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Della (E)</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seattle Firefighters Union Voluntary Political Action Fund (PAC)</th>
<th>Washington Association of Realtors PAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E)</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greg Maffei</th>
<th>Martin Jamie Durkan Jr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairman &amp; CEO, 360networks inc</td>
<td>Lobbyist/Business Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
<td>Peter Steinbrueck (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2980.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rental Housing Association (RHA) PAC</th>
<th>Bruce Lorig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>Lorig Associates LLC/Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>Judy Nicastro (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>Tom Rasmussen (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Builders United in Legislative Development (BUILD) PAC (Associated General Contractors of WA)</th>
<th>Affordable Housing Council PAC (Building Industry Association of WA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
<td>Jim Compton (E/I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
<td>Jean Godden (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
<td>Margaret Pageler (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
<td>Robert Rosencrantz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
<td>Heidi Wills (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 1

B. Top 20 Employers of Contributors to Candidates

The following is a list of the top 20 employers of contributors to 2003 candidate campaigns and the aggregate amount their employees gave to these campaigns. Campaigns are required to report the employer and occupation of each person who contributes more than $100. The information provided on these reports was aggregated to create this table.

The amount of contributions coming from the category “Not Employed” has fluctuated widely over the years. In 1999, $11,634 in contributions came from “Not Employed.” However, in 2001, that figure leapt to $284,187. One explanation for the increase may be 2001’s three-way race for Mayor between three strong contenders. These candidates drew significant contributions from single income married couples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 20 Employers of Contributors</th>
<th>Total Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Not Employed</td>
<td>$91,677.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. City of Seattle</td>
<td>$32,465.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. State of Washington</td>
<td>$25,613.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Microsoft</td>
<td>$11,230.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. King County</td>
<td>$10,345.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Preston Gates &amp; Ellis LLP</td>
<td>$8,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Windermere Real Estate</td>
<td>$8,195.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Federal Government</td>
<td>$7,837.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Foster Pepper &amp; Shenefelt PLLC</td>
<td>$7,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Vulcan</td>
<td>$6,740.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Onvia</td>
<td>$4,925.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Wright Runstad</td>
<td>$4,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Rollin Fatland &amp; Associates</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Second Avenue Partners</td>
<td>$3,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Uwajimaya Inc</td>
<td>$3,775.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Perkins Coie LLP</td>
<td>$3,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Lorig Assoc. LLC</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Lease Crutcher Lewis</td>
<td>$3,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Port of Seattle</td>
<td>$3,395.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. New Line Cinema</td>
<td>$3,350.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
List of Contributors

The list of contributors is not available in the web version of this report. These lists are available elsewhere on the Commission web site at:

www2.ci.seattle.wa.us/ethics/searchlist/lists.asp

and:

www2.ci.seattle.wa.us/ethics/searchlist/searchlist.asp